

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box →



Substitute for form 1449A/B/PTO INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Use as many sheets as necessary)				Complete if Known	
				Application Number	Unassigned
				Filing Date	January 21, 2004
				First Named Inventor	Bathish et al.
				Group Art Unit	Unassigned
				Examiner Name	Unassigned
Sheet	1	of	1	Attorney Docket Number	224685

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS						
Examiner Initials	Doc. No.	U.S. Patent Document		Name of Patentee or Applicant	Date of Publication	Filing Date If Appropriate
		Application or Patent Number	Kind Code			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS								
Examiner Initials	Doc. No.	Foreign Patent Document			Name of Patentee or Applicant	Date of Publication	Translation	
		Office	Application or Patent Number	Kind Code			Yes	No**

OTHER - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS					
Examiner Initials	Doc. No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number (s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	Translation		
			Yes	No**	
MS	AA	Housley, R.; <i>Cryptographic Message Syntax</i> ; <u>Network Working Group</u> , June 1999			
MS	AB	Ramsdell, B.; <i>S/MIME Version 3 Message Specification</i> ; <u>Network Working Group</u> , June 1999			
MS	AC	Hoffman, P.; <i>Enhanced Security Services for S/MIME</i> ; <u>Network Working Group</u> , June 1999			
MS	AD	Klensin, J.; <i>Simple Mail Transfer Protocol</i> ; <u>Network Working Group</u> , April 2001			
MS	AE	Resnick, P.; <i>Internet Message Format</i> ; <u>Network Working Group</u> , April 2001			

Examiner Signature	/Martinjeriko San Juan/	Date Considered	2/12/2007
--------------------	-------------------------	-----------------	-----------

- * A concise statement of relevance is being submitted in lieu of a translation. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3).
- + An English-language equivalent/patent, or an English-language abstract, or an English-language version of the search report or action by a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application indicating the degree of relevance found by the foreign office is being submitted in lieu of a concise explanation of relevance under 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3).